



STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY
REAL ESTATE DIVISION
ADVISORY OPINION

Subject: The Super Priority Lien	Advisory No. 13-01	21 pages
	Issued By: Real Estate Division	
	Amends/Supersedes	N/A
Reference(s): NRS 116.3102; ; NRS 116.310312; NRS 116.310313; NRS 116.3115; NRS 116.3116; NRS 116.31162; Commission for Common Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels Advisory Opinion No. 2010-01		Issue Date: December 12, 2012

QUESTION #1:

Pursuant to NRS 116.3116, may the portion of the association's lien which is superior to a unit's first security interest (referred to as the "super priority lien") contain "costs of collecting" defined by NRS 116.310313?

QUESTION #2:

Pursuant to NRS 116.3116, may the sum total of the super priority lien ever exceed 9 times the monthly assessment amount for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association pursuant to NRS 116.3115, plus charges incurred by the association on a unit pursuant to NRS 116.310312?

QUESTION #3:

Pursuant to NRS 116.3116, must the association institute a "civil action" as defined by Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 2 and 3 in order for the super priority lien to exist?

SHORT ANSWER TO #1:

No. The association's lien does not include "costs of collecting" defined by NRS 116.310313, so the super priority portion of the lien may not include such costs. NRS 116.310313 does not say such charges are a lien on the unit, and NRS 116.3116 does not make such charges part of the association's lien.

SHORT ANSWER TO #2:

No. The language in NRS 116.3116(2) defines the super priority lien. The super priority lien consists of unpaid assessments based on the association's budget and NRS 116.310312 charges, nothing more. The super priority lien is limited to: (1) 9 months of assessments; and (2) charges allowed by NRS 116.310312. The super priority lien based on assessments may not exceed 9 months of assessments as reflected in the association's budget, and it may not include penalties, fees, late charges, fines, or interest. References in NRS 116.3116(2) to assessments and charges pursuant to NRS 116.310312 define the super priority lien, and are not merely to determine a dollar amount for the super priority lien.

SHORT ANSWER TO #3:

No. The association must *take action* to enforce its super priority lien, but it need not institute a civil action by the filing of a complaint. The association may begin the process for foreclosure in NRS 116.31162 or exercise any other remedy it has to enforce the lien.

ANALYSIS OF THE ISSUES:

This advisory opinion – provided in accordance with NRS 116.623 – details the Real Estate Division's opinion as to the interpretation of NRS 116.3116(1) and (2). The Division hopes to help association boards understand the meaning of the statute so they are better equipped to represent the interests of their members. Associations are encouraged to look at the entirety of a situation surrounding a particular deficiency and evaluate the association's best option for collection. The first step in that analysis is to understand what constitutes the association's lien, what is not part of the lien, and the status of the lien compared to other liens recorded against the unit.

Subsection (1) of NRS 116.3116 describes what constitutes the association's lien; and subsection (2) states the lien's priority compared to other liens recorded against a unit. NRS 116.3116 comes from the Uniform Common Interest Ownership Act (1982) (the "Uniform Act"), which Nevada adopted in 1991. So, in addition to looking at the language of the relevant Nevada statute, this analysis includes references to the Uniform Act's equivalent provision (§ 3-116) and its comments.

I. **NRS 116.3116(1) DEFINES WHAT THE ASSOCIATION'S LIEN CONSISTS OF.**

NRS 116.3116(1) provides generally for the lien associations have against units within common-interest communities. NRS 116.3116(1) states as follows:

The association has a lien on a unit for **any construction penalty that is imposed against the unit's owner pursuant to NRS 116.310305, any assessment levied against that unit or any fines imposed against the unit's owner from the time the construction penalty, assessment or fine becomes due. Unless the declaration otherwise provides, any penalties, fees, charges, late charges, fines and interest charged pursuant to paragraphs (j) to (n), inclusive, of subsection 1 of NRS 116.3102 are enforceable as assessments** under this section. If an assessment is payable in installments, the full amount of the assessment is a lien from the time the first installment thereof becomes due.

(emphasis added).

Based on this provision, the association's lien includes assessments, construction penalties, and fines imposed against a unit when they become due. In addition – unless the declaration otherwise provides – penalties, fees, charges, late charges, fines, and interest charged pursuant to NRS 116.3102(1)(j) through (n) are also part of the association's lien in that such items are enforceable as if they were assessments. Assessments can be foreclosed pursuant to NRS 116.31162, but liens for fines and penalties may not be foreclosed unless they satisfy the requirements of NRS 116.31162(4). Therefore, it is important to accurately categorize what comprises each portion of the association's lien to evaluate enforcement options.

A. **“COSTS OF COLLECTING” (DEFINED BY NRS 116.310313) ARE NOT PART OF THE ASSOCIATION'S LIEN**

NRS 116.3116(1) does not specifically make costs of collecting part of the association's lien, so the determination must be whether such costs can be included under the incorporated provisions of NRS 116.3102. NRS 116.3102(1)(j) through (n) identifies five very specific categories of penalties, fees, charges, late charges, fines, and interest associations may impose. This language encompasses all penalties, fees,

charges, late charges, fines, and interest that are part of the lien described in NRS 116.3116(1).

NRS 116.3102(1)(j) through (n) states:

1. Except as otherwise provided in this section, and subject to the provisions of the declaration, the association may do any or all of the following: ...

(j) Impose and receive any payments, fees or charges for the use, rental or operation of the common elements, other than limited common elements described in subsections 2 and 4 of NRS 116.2102, and for services provided to the units' owners, including, without limitation, any services provided pursuant to NRS 116.310312.

(k) Impose charges for late payment of assessments pursuant to NRS 116.3115.

(l) Impose construction penalties when authorized pursuant to NRS 116.310305.

(m) Impose reasonable fines for violations of the governing documents of the association only if the association complies with the requirements set forth in NRS 116.31031.

(n) Impose reasonable charges for the preparation and recordation of any amendments to the declaration or any statements of unpaid assessments, and impose reasonable fees, not to exceed the amounts authorized by NRS 116.4109, for preparing and furnishing the documents and certificate required by that section.

(emphasis added).

Whatever charges the association is permitted to impose by virtue of these provisions are part of the association's lien. Subsection (k) – emphasized above – has been used – the Division believes improperly – to support the conclusion that associations may include costs of collecting past due obligations as part of the association's lien. The Commission for Common Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels issued Advisory Opinion No. 2010-01 in December of 2010. The Commission's advisory concludes as follows:

An association may collect as a part of the super priority lien (a) interest permitted by NRS 116.3115, (b) late fees or charges authorized by the declaration, (c) charges for preparing any statements of unpaid assessments and (d) the "costs of collecting" authorized by NRS 116.310313.

Analysis of what constitutes the *super priority lien* portion of the association's lien is discussed in Section III, but the Division agrees that the association's lien does include items noted as (a), (b) and (c) of the Commission's advisory opinion above. To support item (d), the Commission relies on NRS 116.3102(1)(k) which gives associations the power to: "Impose charges for late payment of assessments pursuant to NRS 116.3115." This language would include interest authorized by statute and late fees if authorized by the association's declaration.

"Costs of collecting" defined by NRS 116.310313 is too broad to fall within the parameters of charges for late payment of assessments.¹ By definition, "costs of collecting" relate to the collection of past due "obligations." "Obligations" are defined as "any assessment, fine, construction penalty, fee, charge or interest levied or imposed against a unit's owner."² In other words, costs of collecting includes more than "charges for late payment of assessments."³ Therefore, the plain language of NRS 116.3116(1) does not incorporate costs of collecting into the association's lien. Further review of the relevant statutes and legislative action supports this conclusion.

B. PRIOR LEGISLATIVE ACTION SUPPORTS THE POSITION THAT COSTS OF COLLECTING ARE NOT PART OF THE ASSOCIATION'S LIEN DESCRIBED BY NRS 116.3116(1).

The language of NRS 116.3116(1) allows for "charges for late payment of assessments" to be part of the association's lien.⁴ "Charges for late payments" is not the same as "costs of collecting." "Costs of collecting" was first defined in NRS 116 by the adoption of NRS 116.310313 in 2009. NRS 116.310313(1) provides for the association's

¹ Charges for late payment of assessments comes from NRS 116.3102(1)(k) and is incorporated into NRS 116.3116(1).

² NRS 116.310313.

³ "Costs of collecting" includes any fee, charge or cost, by whatever name, including, without limitation, any collection fee, filing fee, recording fee, fee related to the preparation, recording or delivery of a lien or lien rescission, title search lien fee, bankruptcy search fee, referral fee, fee for postage or delivery and any other fee or cost that an association charges a unit's owner for the investigation, enforcement or collection of a past due obligation. The term does not include any costs incurred by an association if a lawsuit is filed to enforce any past due obligation or any costs awarded by a court. NRS 116.310313(3)(a).

⁴ NRS 116.3102(1)(k) (incorporated into NRS 116.3116(1)).

right to charge a unit owner “reasonable fees to cover the costs of collecting any past due obligation.” NRS 116.310313 is not referenced in NRS 116.3116 or NRS 116.3102, nor does NRS 116.310313 specifically provide for the association’s right to lien the unit for such costs.

In contrast, NRS 116.310312, also adopted in 2009, allows an association to enter the grounds of a unit to maintain the property or abate a nuisance existing on the exterior of the unit. NRS 116.310312 specifically provides for the association’s expenses to be a lien on the unit and provides that the lien is prior to the first security interest.⁵ NRS 116.3102(1)(j) was amended to allow these expenses to be part of the lien described in NRS 116.3116(1). And NRS 116.3116(2) was amended to allow these expenses to be included in the association’s super priority lien.

The Commission’s advisory opinion from December 2010 also relies on changes to the Uniform Act from 2008 to support the notion that collection costs should be part of the association’s super priority lien. Nevada has not adopted those changes to the Uniform Act. Since the Commission’s advisory opinion, the Nevada Legislature had an opportunity to clarify the law in this regard.

In 2011, the Nevada Legislature considered Senate Bill 174, which proposed changes to NRS 116.3116. S.B. 174 originally included changes to NRS 116.3116(1) such that the association’s lien would specifically include “costs of collecting” as defined in NRS 116.310313. S.B. 174 proposed changes to NRS 116.3116 (1) and (2) to bring the statute in line with the changes to the same provision in the Uniform Act amended in 2008.

The Uniform Act’s amendments were removed from S.B. 174 by the first reprint. As amended, S.B. 174 proposed changes to NRS 116.3116(2) expanding the super priority lien amount to include costs of collecting not to exceed \$1,950, in addition to 9 months

⁵ See NRS 116.310312(4) and (6).

of assessments. S.B. 174 was discussed in great detail and ultimately died in committee.⁶

Also in 2011, Senate Bill 204 – as originally introduced – included changes to NRS 116.3116(1) to expand the association’s lien to include attorney’s fees and costs and “any other sums due to the association.”⁷ The bill’s language was taken from the Uniform Act amendments in 2008. All changes to NRS 116.3116(1) were removed from the bill prior to approval.

The Nevada Legislature’s actions in the 2009 and 2011 sessions are indicative of its intent not to make costs of collecting part of the lien. The Nevada Legislature could have made the costs of collecting part of the association’s lien, like it did for costs under NRS 116.310312. It did not do so. In order for the association to have a right to lien a unit under NRS 116.3116(1), the charge or expense must fall within a category listed in the plain language of the statute. Costs of collecting do not fall within that language. Based on the foregoing, the Division concludes that the association’s lien does not include “costs of collecting” as defined by NRS 116.310313.

A possible concern regarding this outcome could be that an association may not be able to recover their collection costs relating to a foreclosure of an assessment lien. While that may seem like an unreasonable outcome, a look at the bigger picture must be considered to put it in perspective. NRS 116.31162 through NRS 116.31168, inclusive, outlines the association’s ability to enforce its lien through foreclosure. Associations have a lien for assessments that is enforced through foreclosure. The association’s expenses are reimbursed to the association from the proceeds of the sale. NRS 116.31164(3)(c) allows the proceeds of the foreclosure sale to be distributed in the following order:

- (1) The reasonable expenses of sale;

⁶ See <http://leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Reports/history.cfm?ID=423>.

⁷ Senate Bill No. 204 – Senator Copening, Sec. 49, ln. 1-16, February 28, 2011.

- (2) The reasonable expenses of securing possession before sale, holding, maintaining, and preparing the unit for sale, including payment of taxes and other governmental charges, premiums on hazard and liability insurance, and, to the extent provided for by the declaration, reasonable attorney's fees and other legal expenses incurred by the association;
- (3) Satisfaction of the association's lien;
- (4) Satisfaction in the order of priority of any subordinate claim of record; and
- (5) Remittance of any excess to the unit's owner.

Subsections (1) and (2) allow the association to receive its expenses to enforce its lien through foreclosure *before* the association's lien is satisfied. Obviously, if there are no proceeds from a sale or a sale never takes place, the association has no way to collect its expenses other than through a civil action against the unit owner. Associations must consider this consequence when making decisions regarding collection policies understanding that every delinquent assessment may not be treated the same.

II. NRS 116.3116(2) ESTABLISHES THE PRIORITY OF THE ASSOCIATION'S LIEN.

Having established that the association has a lien on the unit as described in subsection (1) of NRS 116.3116, we now turn to subsection (2) to determine the lien's priority in relation to other liens recorded against the unit. The lien described by NRS 116.3116(1) is what is referred to in subsection (2). Understanding the priority of the lien is an important consideration for any board of directors looking to enforce the lien through foreclosure or to preserve the lien in the event of foreclosure by a first security interest.

NRS 116.3116(2) provides that the association's lien is prior to all other liens recorded against the unit *except*: liens recorded against the unit before the declaration; first security interests (first deeds of trust); and real estate taxes or other governmental assessments. There is one exception to the exceptions, so to speak, when it comes to priority of the association's lien. This exception makes a portion of an association's lien prior to the first security interest. The portion of the association's lien given priority status to a first security interest is what is referred to as the "super priority lien" to

distinguish it from the other portion of the association's lien that is subordinate to a first security interest.

The ramifications of the super priority lien are significant in light of the fact that superior liens, when foreclosed, remove all junior liens. An association can foreclose its super priority lien and the first security interest holder will either pay the super priority lien amount or lose its security. NRS 116.3116 is found in the Uniform Act at § 3-116. Nevada adopted the original language from § 3-116 of the Uniform Act in 1991. From its inception, the concept of a super priority lien was a novel approach. The Uniform Act comments to § 3-116 state:

[A]s to prior first security interests the association's lien does have priority for 6 months' assessments based on the periodic budget. A significant departure from existing practice, the 6 months' priority for the assessment lien strikes an equitable balance between the need to enforce collection of unpaid assessments and the obvious necessity for protecting the priority of the security interests of lenders. As a practical matter, secured lenders will most likely pay the 6 months' assessments demanded by the association rather than having the association foreclose on the unit. If the lender wishes, an escrow for assessments can be required.

This comment on § 3-116 illustrates the intent to allow for 6 months of assessments to be prior to a first security interest. The reason this was done was to accommodate the association's need to enforce collection of unpaid assessments. The controversy surrounding the super priority lien is in defining its limit. This is an important consideration for an association looking to enforce its lien. There is little benefit to an association if it incurs expenses pursuing unpaid assessments that will be eliminated by an imminent foreclosure of the first security interest. As stated in the comment, it is also likely that the holder of the first security interest will pay the super priority lien amount to avoid foreclosure by the association.

III. THE AMOUNT OF THE SUPER PRIORITY LIEN IS LIMITED BY THE PLAIN LANGUAGE OF NRS 116.3116(2).

NRS 116.3116(2) states:

A lien under this section is prior to all other liens and encumbrances on a unit except:

(a) Liens and encumbrances recorded before the recordation of the declaration and, in a cooperative, liens and encumbrances which the association creates, assumes or takes subject to;

(b) A first security interest on the unit recorded before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent or, in a cooperative, the first security interest encumbering only the unit's owner's interest and perfected before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent; and

(c) Liens for real estate taxes and other governmental assessments or charges against the unit or cooperative.

The lien is also prior to all security interests described in paragraph (b) to the extent of any charges incurred by the association on a unit pursuant to NRS 116.310312 and to the extent of the assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association pursuant to NRS 116.3115 which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien, unless federal regulations adopted by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation or the Federal National Mortgage Association require a shorter period of priority for the lien. If federal regulations adopted by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation or the Federal National Mortgage Association require a shorter period of priority for the lien, the period during which the lien is prior to all security interests described in paragraph (b) must be determined in accordance with those federal regulations, except that notwithstanding the provisions of the federal regulations, the period of priority for the lien must not be less than the 6 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien. This subsection does not affect the priority of mechanics' or materialmen's liens, or the priority of liens for other assessments made by the association.

(emphasis added)

Having found previously that costs of collecting are not part of the lien means they are not part of the super priority lien. The question then becomes what can be included as part of the super priority lien. Prior to 2009, the super priority lien was limited to 6 months of assessments. In 2009, the Nevada legislature changed the 6 months of

assessments to 9 months and added expenses for abatement under NRS 116.310312 to the super priority lien amount. But to the extent federal law applicable to the first security interest limits the super priority lien, the super priority lien is limited to 6 months of assessments.

The emphasized language in the portion of the statute above identifies the portion of the association's lien that is prior to the first security interest, i.e. what comprises the super priority lien. This language states that there are two components to the super priority lien. The first is "to the extent of any charges" incurred by the association pursuant to NRS 116.310312. NRS 116.310312(4) makes clear that the charges assessed against the unit pursuant to this section are a lien on the unit and subsection (6) makes it clear that such lien is prior to first security interests. These costs are also specifically part of the lien described in NRS 116.3116(1) incorporated through NRS 116.3102(1)(j). This portion of the super priority lien is specific to charges incurred pursuant to NRS 116.310312. Payment of those charges relieves their super priority lien status. There does not seem to be any confusion as to what this part of the super priority lien is. Analysis of the super priority lien will focus on the second portion.

A. THE SUPER PRIORITY LIEN ATTRIBUTABLE TO ASSESSMENTS IS LIMITED TO 9 MONTHS OF ASSESSMENTS AND CONSISTS ONLY OF ASSESSMENTS.

The second portion of the super priority lien is "to the extent of the assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association pursuant to NRS 116.3115 which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien."

The statute uses the language "to the extent of the assessments" to illustrate that there is a limit on the amount of the super priority lien, just like the language concerning expenses pursuant to NRS 116.310312, but this portion concerns assessments. The limit on the super priority lien is based on the assessments for

common expenses reflected in a budget adopted pursuant to NRS 116.3115 which would have become due in 9 months. The assessment portion of the super priority lien is no different than the portion derived from NRS 116.3103¹². Each portion of the super priority lien is limited to the specific charge stated and nothing else.

Therefore, while the association's *lien* may include any penalties, fees, charges, late charges, fines and interest charged pursuant to NRS 116.3102 (1) (j) to (n), inclusive, the total amount of the *super priority lien* attributed to assessments is no more than 9 months of the monthly assessment reflected in the association's budget. Association budgets do not reflect late charges or interest attributed to an anticipated delinquent owner, so there is no basis to conclude that such charges could be included in the super priority lien or in addition to the assessments. Such extraneous charges are not included in the association's super priority lien.

NRS 116.3116 originally provided for 6 months of assessments as the super priority lien. Comments to the Uniform Act quoted previously support the conclusion that the original intent was for 6 months of the assessments alone to comprise the super priority lien amount and not the penalties, charges, or interest. It is possible that an argument could be made that the language is so clear in this regard one should not look to legislative intent. But considering the controversy surrounding the meaning of this statute, the better argument is that legislative intent should be used to determine the meaning.

The Commission's advisory opinion of December 2010 concluded that assessments *and* additional costs are part of the super priority lien. The Commission's advisory opinion relies in part on a Wake Forest Law Review⁸ article from 1992 discussing the Uniform Act. This article actually concludes that the Uniform Act language limits the

⁸ See James Winokur, *Meaner Lienor Community Associations: The "Super Priority" Lien and Related Reforms Under the Uniform Common Interest Ownership Act*, 27 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 353, 366-69 (1992).

amount of the super priority lien to 6 months of assessments, but that the super priority lien does not necessarily consist of only delinquent assessments.⁹ It can include fines, interest, and late charges.¹⁰ The concept here is that all parts of the lien are prior to a first security interest and that reference to assessments for the super priority lien is only to define a specific dollar amount.

The Division disagrees with this interpretation because of the unreasonable consequences it leaves open. For example, a unit owner may pay the delinquent assessment amount leaving late charges and interest as part of the super priority lien. If the super priority lien can encompass more than just delinquent assessments in this situation, it would give the association the right to foreclose its lien consisting only of late charges and interest prior to the first security interest. It is also unreasonable to expect that fines (which cannot be foreclosed generally) survive a foreclosure of the first security interest. Either the lender or the new buyer would be forced to pay the prior owner's fines. The Division does not find that these consequences are reasonable or intended by the drafters of the Uniform Act or by the Nevada Legislature. Even the 2008 revisions to the Uniform Act do not allow for anything other than assessments and costs incurred to foreclose the lien to be included in the super priority lien. Fines, interest, and late charges are not *costs* the association incurs.

In 2009, the Nevada Legislature revised NRS 116.3116 to expand the association's super priority lien. Assembly Bill 204 sought to extend the super priority lien of 6 months of assessments to 2 years of assessments.¹¹ The Commission's chairman, Michael Buckley, testified on March 6, 2009 before the Assembly Committee on Judiciary on A.B. 204 that the law was unclear as to whether the 6 month priority can

⁹ See *id.* at 367 (referring to the super priority lien as the "six months assessment ceiling" being computed from the periodic budget).

¹⁰ See *id.*

¹¹ See <http://leg.state.nv.us/Session/75th2009/Reports/history.cfm?ID=416>.

include the association's costs and attorneys' fees.¹² Mr. Buckley explained that the Uniform Act amendments in 2008 allowed for the collection of attorneys' fees and costs incurred by the association in foreclosing the assessment lien as part of the super priority lien. Mr. Buckley requested that the 2008 change to the Uniform Act be included in A.B. 204. Mr. Buckley's requested change to A.B. 204 to expand the super priority lien never made it into A.B. 204. Ultimately, A.B. 204 was adopted to change 6 months to 9 months, but commenting on the intent of the bill, Assemblywoman Ellen Spiegel stated:

Assessments covered under A.B. 204 are the regular monthly or quarterly dues for their home. I carefully put this bill together to make sure it did not include any assessments for penalties, fines or late fees. The bill covers the basic monies the association uses to build its regular budgets.

(emphasis added).¹³

It is significant that the legislative intent in changing 6 months to 9 months was with the understanding that no portion of that amount would be for penalties, fines, or late fees and that it only covers the basic monies associations use to build their regular budgets. It does make sense that a lien superior to a first security interest would not include penalties, fines, and interest. To say that the super priority lien includes more than just 9 months of assessments allows several undesirable and unreasonable consequences.

B. NEVADA HAS NOT ADOPTED AMENDMENTS TO THE UNIFORM ACT TO ALTER THE ORIGINAL INTENT OF THE SUPER PRIORITY LIEN.

The changes to the Uniform Act support the contention that only what is referenced as the super priority lien in NRS 116.3116(2) is what comprises the super priority lien. In 2008, § 3-116 of the Uniform Act was revised as follows:

¹² See Minutes of the Meeting of the Assembly Committee on Judiciary, Seventy-fifth Session, March 6, 2009 at 44-45.

¹³ See Minutes of the Senate Committee on Judiciary, Seventy-fifth Session, May 8, 2009 at 27.

SECTION 3-116. LIEN FOR ASSESSMENTS; SUMS DUE ASSOCIATION; ENFORCEMENT.

(a) The association has a statutory lien on a unit for any assessment ~~levied against~~ attributable to that unit or fines imposed against its unit owner. Unless the declaration otherwise provides, reasonable attorney's fees and costs, other fees, charges, late charges, fines, and interest charged pursuant to Section 3-102(a)(10), (11), and (12), and any other sums due to the association under the declaration, this [act], or as a result of an administrative, arbitration, mediation, or judicial decision are enforceable in the same manner as unpaid assessments under this section. If an assessment is payable in installments, the lien is for the full amount of the assessment from the time the first installment thereof becomes due.

(b) A lien under this section is prior to all other liens and encumbrances on a unit except:

~~(i)~~(1) liens and encumbrances recorded before the recordation of the declaration and, in a cooperative, liens and encumbrances ~~which~~ that the association creates, assumes, or takes subject to; ;

~~(ii)~~(2) except as otherwise provided in subsection (c), a first security interest on the unit recorded before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent, or, in a cooperative, the first security interest encumbering only the unit owner's interest and perfected before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent;; and

~~(iii)~~(3) liens for real estate taxes and other governmental assessments or charges against the unit or cooperative.

(c) ~~A~~ The lien under this section is also prior to all security interests described in subsection (b)(2) clause (ii) above to the extent of both the common expense assessments based on the periodic budget adopted by the association pursuant to Section 3-115(a) which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the six months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien and reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred by the association in foreclosing the association's lien. ~~This subsection~~ Subsection (b) and this subsection ~~does~~ do not affect the priority of mechanics' or materialmen's liens, or the priority of liens for other assessments made by the association. [~~The~~ A lien under this section is not subject to ~~the provisions of~~ [insert appropriate reference to state homestead, dower and curtesy, or other exemptions].]

Explaining the reason for the changes to these sections, the Uniform Act includes the following comments:

Associations must be legitimately concerned, as fiduciaries of the unit owners, that the association be able to collect periodic common charges from recalcitrant unit owners in a timely way. To address those concerns, the section contains these 2008 amendments:

First, subsection (a) is amended to add the cost of the association's reasonable attorneys fees and court costs to the total value of the association's existing 'super lien' – currently, 6 months of regular common assessments. This amendment is identical to the amendment adopted by Connecticut in 1991; see C.G.S. Section 47-258(b). The increased amount of the association's lien has been approved by Fannie Mae and local lenders and has become a significant tool in the successful collection efforts enjoyed by associations in that state.

The Uniform Act's amendment in 2008 is very telling about § 3-116's original intent. The comments state reasonable attorneys' fees and court costs are *added* to the super priority lien stating that it is currently 6 months of regular common assessments. The Uniform Act adds attorneys' fees and costs to subsection (a) which defines the association's lien. Those attorneys' fees and costs attributable to foreclosure efforts are also added to subsection (c) which defines the super priority lien amount.

If the association's lien ever included attorneys' fees and court costs as "charges for late payment of assessments" or if such sum was part of the super priority lien, there would be no reason to add this language to subsection (a) and (c). Or at a minimum, the comments would assert the amendment was simply to make the language more clear. It is also clear by the language that only what is specified as part of the super priority lien can comprise the super priority lien. The additional language defining the super priority lien provides for costs that are *incurred* by the association foreclosing the lien. This is further evidence that the super priority lien does not and never did consist of interest, fines, penalties or late charges. These charges are not incurred by the association and they should not be part of any super priority lien.

The Nevada Legislature had the opportunity to change NRS 116.3116 in 2009 and 2011 to conform to the Uniform Act. It chose not to. While the revisions under the

Uniform Act may make sense to some and they may be adopted in other jurisdictions, the fact of the matter is, Nevada has not adopted those changes. The changes to the Uniform Act cannot be insinuated into the language of NRS 116.3116. Based on the plain language of NRS 116.3116, legislative intent, and the comments to the Uniform Act, the Division concludes that the super priority lien is limited to expenses stemming from NRS 116.310312 and assessments as reflected in the association's budget for the immediately preceding 9 months from institution of an action to enforce the association's lien.

IV. "ACTION" AS USED IN NRS 116.3116 DOES NOT REQUIRE A CIVIL ACTION ON THE PART OF THE ASSOCIATION.

NRS 116.3116(2) provides that the super priority lien pertaining to assessments consists of those assessments "which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien." NRS 116.3116 requires that the association take action to enforce its lien in order to determine the immediately preceding 9 months of assessments. The question presented is whether this action must be a civil action.

During the Senate Committee on Judiciary hearing on May 8, 2009, the Chair of the Committee, Terry Care, stated with reference to AB 204:

One thing that bothers me about section 2 is the duty of the association to enforce the liens, but I understand the argument with the economy and the high rate of delinquencies not only to mortgage payments but monthly assessments. Bill Uffelman, speaking for the Nevada Bankers Association, broke it down to a 210-day scheme that went into the current law of six months. Even though you asked for two years, I looked at nine months, thinking the association has a duty to move on these delinquencies.

NRS 116 does not require an association to take any particular action to enforce its lien, but that it institutes "an action." NRS 116.31162 provides the first steps to foreclose the association's lien. This process is started by the mailing of a notice of delinquent

assessment as provided in NRS 116.3116(1)(a). At that point, the immediately preceding 9 months of assessments based on the association's budget determine the amount of the super priority lien. The Division concludes that this action by the association to begin the foreclosure of its lien is "action to enforce the lien" as provided in NRS 116.3116(2). The association is not required to institute a civil action in court to trigger the 9 month look back provided in NRS 116.3116(2). Associations should make the delinquent assessment known to the first security holder in an effort to receive the super priority lien amount from them as timely as possible.

ADVISORY CONCLUSION:

An association's lien consists of assessments, construction penalties, and fines. Unless the association's declaration provides otherwise, the association's lien also includes all penalties, fees, charges, late charges, fines and interest pursuant to NRS 116.3102(1)(j) through (n). While charges for late payment of assessments are part of the association's lien, "costs of collecting" as defined by NRS 116.310313, are not. "Costs of collecting" defined by NRS 116.310313 includes costs of collecting any *obligation*, not just assessments. Costs of collecting are not merely a charge for a late payment of assessments. Since costs of collecting are not part of the association's lien in NRS 116.3116(1), they cannot be part of the super priority lien detailed in subsection (2).

The super priority lien consists of two components. By virtue of the detail provided by the statute, the super priority lien applies to the charges incurred under NRS 116.310312 and up to 9 months of assessments as reflected in the association's regular budget. The Nevada Legislature has not adopted changes to NRS 116.3116 that were made to the Uniform Act in 2008 despite multiple opportunities to do so. In fact, the Legislative intent seems rather clear with Assemblywoman Spiegel's comments to A.B. 204 that changed 6 months of assessments to 9 months. Assemblywoman Spiegel stated that she "carefully put this bill together to make sure it did not include any

assessments for penalties, fines or late fees.” This is consistent with the comments to the Uniform Act stating the priority is for assessments based on the periodic budget. In other words, when the super priority lien language refers to 9 months of assessments, assessments are the only component. Just as when the language refers to charges pursuant to NRS 116.310312, those charges are the only component. Not in either case can you substitute other portions of the entire lien and make it superior to a first security interest.

Associations need to evaluate their collection policies in a manner that makes sense for the recovery of unpaid assessments. Associations need to consider the foreclosure of the first security interest and the chances that they may not be paid back for the costs of collection. Associations may recover costs of collecting unpaid assessments if there are proceeds from the association’s foreclosure.¹⁴ But costs of collecting are not a lien under NRS 116.310313 or NRS 116.3116(1); they are the personal liability of the unit owner.

Perhaps an effective approach for an association is to start with foreclosure of the assessment lien after a nine month assessment delinquency or sooner if the association receives a foreclosure notice from the first security interest holder. The association will always want to enforce its lien for assessments to trigger the super priority lien. This can be accomplished by starting the foreclosure process. The association can use the super priority lien to force the first security interest holder to pay that amount. The association should incur only the expense it believes is necessary to receive payment of assessments. If the first security interest holder does not foreclose, the association will maintain its assessment lien consisting of assessments, late charges, and interest. If a loan modification or short sale is worked out with the owner’s lender, the association is better off limiting its expenses and more likely to recover the assessments. Adding unnecessary costs of collection – especially after a short period of delinquency – can

¹⁴ NRS 116.31164.

make it all the more impossible for the owner to come current or for a short sale to close. This situation does not benefit the association or its members.